

In the first example, `test_parrayfun_1.m`, the function:

$$y(n) = \int_0^{\pi(n-2)/n} [\cos^n(x) + \sin^{(n-1)}(x)] dx$$

is calculated for $n \in [0, n_{max}]$, using four different ways. A large number of points is used, $n_{max} = 10,000$, in order to clearly show the calculation time saving when using multiple microprocessor cores by means of `parrayfun` of the `parallel` package.

`test_parrayfun_1.m`

```
# In this script 4 ways of calculating the values of a one-dimensional function
# are compared, the time taken by each way is measured and it is verified that
# there are no discrepancies in the results.
```

```
pkg load parallel
```

```
nmax = 10000;          # Number of points where the function is calculated
```

```
function [a,b] = myfun(n);          # Function used in this test
    a = pi*(n-2)/n;
    f = @(x) (cos(x).^n + sin(x).^(n-1));
    b = quadgk(f,0,a);
endfunction
```

```
# First method, using a for loop, defining the function to calculate
# within the loop.
```

```
tic
for n = 1:nmax;
    a1(n) = pi*(n-2)/n;
    b1(n) = quadgk(@(x) (cos(x).^n + sin(x).^(n-1)),0,a1(n));
endfor
t1 = toc
```

```
# Second method, using a for loop and calling "myfun"
tic for n = 1:nmax [a2(n),b2(n)] = myfun(n); endfor
t2= toc
```

```
# Third method, using arrayfun to call "myfun"
tic ni = 1:nmax; [a3,b3] = arrayfun("myfun",ni);
t3 = toc
```

```
# Forth method, using parrayfun to call "myfun"
tic
ni = 1:nmax; [a4,b4] = pararrayfun(4,@(n) myfun(n),ni);
t4 = toc
```

```
# Are discrepancies in the results?
discrepancies_1 = max(a2-a1) + max(b2-b1) + max(a3-a1)
discrepancies_2 = max(b3-b1) + max(a4-a1) + max(b4-b1)
```

Results

```
t1 = 19.212 sec      t2 = 19.419 sec      t3 = 19.324 sec      t4 = 6.2121 sec
discrepancies_1 = 0      discrepancies_2 = 0
```

It can be seen that the `pararrayfun` function, using all the 4 processor cores, divides the calculation time by 3.

In the second example, `test_parrayfun_2.m`, a 2D function:

$$z(x_o, y_o) = \int_{-L}^L \int_{-L}^L \left[\frac{\cos[(x-x_o)^2 + (y-y_o)^2]}{L} \right]^2 dx dy \quad \text{with } x_o, y_o \in [-0.8 \cdot L, 0.8 \cdot L]$$

is calculated for a two dimension array of points, (x_o, y_o) , $51 \times 51 = 2601$ points. In this case, the calculation time for each of these points is not negligible.

`test_parrayfun_2.m`

```
# In this script 2 ways of calculating the values of a two-dimensional function
# are compared, the time taken by each way is measured and it is verified that
# there are no discrepancies in the results. Each of the function values is
# calculated by means of a two-dimensional integral.
```

```
pkg load parallel
```

```
# Square root of the number of points where the function is calculated.
npo = 51;
```

```
# Dimensions of the integration domain
L = 10; xa = -L; xb = L; ya = -L; yb = L;
```

```
# Function integrand definition
function intg = integrando(x, y, xo, yo, L)
    intg = cos(((x-xo).^2 + (y-yo).^2)/L).^2;
endfunction
```

```
# Numerical integration definition
function res = Int_Num(xo, yo, L, xa, xb, ya, yb);
    res = dblquad(@(x, y) integrando(x, y, xo, yo, L), xa, xb, ya, yb);
endfunction
```

```
# First method, using two for loops, defining the function to calculate
# within the double loop.
```

```
tic
for m = 1:npo
    xo = L*0.8*((2*(m-1)/(npo-1))-1);
    for l = 1:npo
        yo = L*0.8*((2*(l-1)/(npo-1))-1);
        INTENSITY_1(m, l) = dblquad(@(x, y) integrando(x, y, xo, yo, L), xa, xb, ya, yb);
    endfor
endfor
t1 = toc
```

```
# Second method, using pararrayfun to call Int_Num
range = linspace(-L*0.8, L*0.8, npo);
[xo, yo] = meshgrid(range);
tic INTENSITY_2 = pararrayfun(4, @(xo, yo) Int_Num(xo, yo, L, xa, xb, ya, yb), xo, yo);
t2 = toc
```

```
discrepancy = max(max(INTENSITY_2-INTENSITY_1))
```

Results

```
t1 = 1789.05 sec = 29 min 49.05 sec      t2 = 472.984 sec = 7 min 53 sec
```

```
t1/t2 = 3.78
```

```
discrepancy = 1.1369e-13      # maximum discrepancy
```

It can be seen that the `pararrayfun` function, using all the 4 processor cores, divides the calculation time by **3.78**.

An additional advantage of using `pararrayfun` is that it informs you of the calculations that are already done, and therefore of what remains to be done. Ex:

```
parcellfun: 525/2061 jobs done
```

The calculation times for these two examples were obtained using a PC with a CPU Intel i52500K @ 3.30 GHz with 4 cores and 4 threads.